IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 3502 /2004.

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 3501/04
Presented by Shri Rakesh Pradhan
dated 24/9/04

Satyendra Pradhan (Minor), aged about 14 years S/o late Minketan Through Guardin Mother Smt. Baidai Pradhan W/o late Minketan Pradhan, resident of Ganj Thana Raipur, Tah.& Distt. Raipur (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India
Through : The Secretary, Department of Personnel Public Grievance & Pension, Third Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.

2. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Secretary, State Police D.K.S.Building, Mantralaya, Raipur(C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through : The Secretary, Depptt. of State Police, Vallabh Bhawan Mantralaya, Bhopal (M.P.)

4. Secretary
General Administration Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (CG)

5. Inspector General of Police,
Raipur (C.G.).

....contd....

(4)

6. Superintendent of Police, Raipur (C.G.

7. Town Inspector,
   Ganj Thana, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA :

42

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3502 of 2004

Petitioner : Satyendra Pradhan

Versus

Respondents : Union of India and others

Present : Shri S.K. Thomas, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for the respondent No. 1/Union of India.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents No. 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7.
None for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER
(20th April, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the son of Shri Minketan, who was working as Aarakshak in the office of the Superintendent of Police, Raipur. Shri Minketan was died in harness on 29.5.2000.
2. The petitioner, being dependant-legal heir of the deceased filed an application on 9.6.2000 for compassionate appointment before the Inspector General of Police, Raipur. The said application was not considered on the ground that the death has occurred before creation of new State of Chhattisgarh.
3. The State of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2.2.2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1.11.1997 to 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

(43)

4. Accordingly, the State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

5. For the reasons stated-above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu